



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispico.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/828,863	04/10/2001	Nobuaki Honbo	F-11090	6953	
75	590 03/11/2003				
McGinn & Gibb, PLLC			EXAMINER		
8321 Old Courthouse Road, Suite 200 Vienna, VA 22182-3817			SCHECHTER,	SCHECHTER, ANDREW M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
		DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

••		Ale			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/828,863	HONBO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Andrew Schechter	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apportant Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A	<u> Nugust 2002</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 April 2001 is/are: a)[ne Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been rec	eived.			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. 	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 1-4 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: "Liquid crystal display device with conductive light shielding film and contact holes".

Claim Objections

3. Claims 3, 6, and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities: "another contact holes" is unclear. For examining purposes, it is taken to mean "another set of contact holes", "another contact hole", or "second contact holes" below. This claim requires two sets of contact holes, one recited in claim 1 and the other recited in claim 3. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/828,863

Art Unit: 2871

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The examiner assumes that "in the neighborhood of the side surface of said channel region or/and said LDD region" in claims 1 and 15 refers to the right or left side in Fig. 11, or the side facing towards or away from the viewer in Fig. 12, for instance. If this is the intent of the applicant, this is not unclear. However, claim 3 combines both contact holes near this "side surface" and "another contact holes" below the drain lines. This does not appear to be disclosed explicitly by the specification or figures, unless the four contact holes in Fig. 12 are interpreted as the two outside contact holes being "another contact holes" while the two inside contact holes are the contact holes in the neighborhood of the side surface. This interpretation, however, does not agree with the understanding of "side surface" above. Is the embodiment of claim 3 simply not depicted explicitly in the figures?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/828,863

Art Unit: 2871

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Muraide*, Japanese Patent Document No. 2000-010120.

Muraide '120 discloses [see Figs. 5 and 6, for instance] a liquid crystal display device comprising a pixel board [10] a light shielding film [11], a first insulating film [12], a semiconductor layer [1] with source, drain, and channel regions, a second (gate) insulating film [2], and gate lines [3a], wherein said light shielding film is conductive [see abstract] and there are contact holes [18] connecting each gate line with said light shielding film in the neighborhood of the side surface of said channel region [see Fig. 5]. Claim 1 is therefore anticipated.

In the embodiments shown in Figs. 9-11, there is also a third insulating film [4] and data lines [6a], and "another contact holes" [13] for connecting to the light shielding film below each data line. Claims 2 and 3 are therefore anticipated as well.

The contact holes are filled with a gate line material, so claims 4-6 are also anticipated. The contact holes serve as a light shielding region, so claims 7-9 are also anticipated. The light shielding film is made of heat resistant material [see abstract], so claims 10-12 are also anticipated. The lamination occurs in the order recited, so claims 13 and 14 are also anticipated. The display device can be used in a projector apparatus having a light source and the two recited optical systems [see Fig. 26], so claims 15 and 16 are also anticipated.

Application/Control Number: 09/828,863

Art Unit: 2871

8. Claims 2, 5, 8, 11, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Muraide*, Japanese Patent Document No. 2000-010121.

Muraide '121 discloses [see Figs. 2 and 3, for instance] a liquid crystal display device comprising a pixel board [10] a light shielding film [11], a first insulating film [12], a semiconductor layer [1] with source, drain, and channel regions, a second (gate) insulating film [2], gate lines [3a], a third insulating film [4], and data lines [6a], wherein said light shielding film is conductive [see abstract] and there are contact holes [13] connecting to said light shielding film below each data line. Claim 2 is therefore anticipated.

The contact holes are filled with a gate line material, so claim 5 is also anticipated. The contact holes serve as a light shielding region, so claim 8 is also anticipated. The light shielding film is made of heat resistant material [see abstract], so claim 11 is also anticipated. The lamination occurs in the order recited, so claim 14 is also anticipated. The display device can be used in a projector apparatus having a light source and the two recited optical systems [see Fig. 13], so claim 16 is also anticipated.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. The most obvious difference between the present invention and that of *Muraide* '120 and *Muraide* '121 is the width of the contact holes compared to the width of the neighboring side of the semiconductor layer (when viewed from above), which increases their effectiveness as light shields. Claims 7-9 do not quite distinguish over

Art Unit: 2871

the prior art, since the contact holes in *Muraide*'s references will also function as light shields, just not as effectively.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Schechter whose telephone number is (703) 306-5801. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-4711 for regular communications and (703) 746-4711 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Andrew Schechter March 3, 2003

TOANTON PRIMARY EXAMINER